



Volume 2, Issue 1, 2025

Intuitions & Insights

An Interdisciplinary Research Journal

ISSN: 3048-6793



Some Classes of Banach Spaces with Normal Structure

Gopal Dutta

Department of Mathematics, J.K. College, Purulia, West Bengal, India

Email: gopalpartha92@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, we prove that if a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has unconditional Schauder basis $\{e_n\}$ with unconditional basis constant c and has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets, then the equivalent renorming $(X, |\cdot|_\beta)$, (where $|x|_\beta = \max\{\|x\|, \beta q(x)\}$, $\beta > 0, q$ is a seminorm on X) has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets under a suitable condition. Also, we give an alternate proof for normal structure of a k -uniform rotundity (k -UR) Banach space.

Keywords: Normal structure, fixed point property, unconditional basis, k -uniform rotundity (k -UR) Banach space.

*Corresponding author: Gopal Dutta

Received: 17.11.2024; Accepted: 29.01.2025; Published: 10.02.2025

1. Introduction

Let K be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space X . A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$, for all $x, y \in K$. We say, K has the fixed point property (fpp) if every nonexpansive map on K has a fixed point in K . Also, we say X has the fixed point property (fpp) if every nonempty weakly compact convex subset of X has the fixed point property. In 1965, Kirk [10] proved that every Banach space with normal structure has the fpp. The idea of normal structure was introduced by Brodskii and Milman in 1948 [3] to study the existence of common fixed point of isometries. It is known that every compact convex subset of a Banach space has normal structure [7], hence every finite dimensional Banach space has the fpp. Browder and Gohde [7] proved the existence of

fixed points for nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space without using normal structure. Note that, every uniformly convex Banach space has normal structure and hence every Hilbert space has normal structure [7]. In 1976, Karlovitz [8] showed that normal structure is not a needful condition for the fpp of a Banach space by extending Kirk's work. Subsequently, Maurey [4,13] proved c_0 with the sup norm has the fpp though it does not have normal structure [7]. However, we can not drop the weakly compact assumption in Kirk's theorem [7]. Since then, different types of geometric conditions introduced by various authors which guaranteed the fpp for a Banach Space (one can refer [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12]) although the problem: Does every reflexive Banach space have the fpp?- remains open.

More, specifically the problem: Does every equivalent renorming of $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p), 1 < p < \infty$, have the fpp?- also remains open. Note that $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p), 1 < p < \infty$, is uniformly convex and hence has the fpp [7]. Further, every equivalent renorming of $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p), 1 < p < \infty$, is reflexive. In [5], it was proved that the equivalent renorming $(l^2, |\cdot|_\beta)$, (where $|x|_\beta = \max\{\|x\|_2, \beta q(x)\}, \beta > 0, q$ is a seminorm on l^2) has normal structure if for each sequence $\{y_k\}$ with $\|y_k\|_2 \leq 1, \text{supp}(y_k)$ is finite and $\max(\text{supp}(y_k)) < \min(\text{supp}(y_{k+1}))$, for all k , there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $q(y_k) \leq \alpha < 1/\beta$, for all $k \geq n_0$. In this paper, we generalize the above result for a Banach space with unconditional Schauder basis and from this we give examples of some equivalent renormings of $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p), 1 < p < \infty$, with normal structure. In fact, here we prove the following: Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with unconditional Schauder basis $\{e_n\}$ and c be the unconditional basis constant. Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets. Let $|x|_\beta = \max\{\|x\|, \beta q(x)\}$ (where $\beta > 0$ and q is a seminorm on X) be an equivalent renorming of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then $(X, |\cdot|_\beta)$ has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets, if for each sequence $\{y_k\}$ with $\|y_k\| \leq c, \text{supp}(y_k)$ is finite and $\max(\text{supp}(y_k)) < \min(\text{supp}(y_{k+1}))$, for all k , there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $q(y_k) \leq \alpha < 1/\beta$, for all $k \geq n_0$.

Sullivan [1979] introduced the notion of k -uniform rotundity ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) for a Banach Space X which is a generalization of uniform convexity and showed that these Banach spaces have normal structure [15]. In this article, we give an alternate proof for the normal structure of a k -uniform rotundity (k -UR) Banach space.

2. Main Results

Let X be a Banach space and $A \subset X$. We use the following notations:

$$r_x(A) = \sup\{\|x - y\| : y \in A\},$$

$$\text{diam}(A) = \text{diameter of } A,$$

$$\text{co}(A) = \text{convex hull of } A,$$

$$\overline{\text{co}}(A) = \text{convex hull closure of } A.$$

Definition 2.1. [3,7] A nonempty convex subset K of a Banach space X is said to have normal structure if for every nonempty closed bounded convex subset C of K with $\text{diam}(C) > 0$, there exist $x \in C$ such that $r_x(C) < \text{diam}(C)$.

If every convex subset of a Banach space X has normal structure, then we say that X has normal structure. Also, we say X has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets if every weakly compact convex subset of X has normal structure.

In 1965, Kirk proved the following:

Theorem 2.2. [10] Let K be a weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space X . If K has normal structure, then K has the fpp.

The following theorem gives a characterization for normal structure.

Theorem 2.3. [7] A nonempty bounded convex subset K of a Banach space X has normal structure if and only if it does not contain a non constant sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_{n+1}, \text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) = \text{diam}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}.$$

Corollary 2.4. [7] A Banach space X has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets if and only if it does not contain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$x_n \rightarrow^w 0$$

$$\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1$$

$$\text{diam}(\{x_n\}) = 1.$$

Let X be a Banach space and $\{e_n\}$ be a Schauder basis of X . Let $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique sequence of scalars $\{\alpha_n\}$ such that $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$. The support of x with respect to $\{e_n\}$ is

$$\text{supp}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha_n \neq 0\}.$$

Definition 2.5. [14] A Schauder basis $\{e_n\}$ of a Banach space X is said to be unconditional if for each $x \in X$, the expansion $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$ for x in terms of the basis is unconditionally convergent, that is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\pi(n)} e_{\pi(n)}$ converges for any permutation π of \mathbb{N} .

Theorem 2.6. [14] Suppose $\{e_n\}$ is an unconditional basis for a Banach space X . For each $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, define the linear operator $P_A: X \rightarrow X$, as $P_A(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n) = \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n e_n$. Then P_A , for all $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, is a

bounded linear operator and $\sup\{\|P_A\|: A \subset \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite. The constant $c = \sup\{\|P_A\|: A \subset \mathbb{N}\}$ is called unconditional basis constant for the basis $\{e_n\}$.

Theorem 2.7. [14] Suppose $\{e_n\}$ is an unconditional basis for a Banach space X and c is the unconditional basis constant. Then c is the smallest real number such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \alpha_n e_n \right\| \leq c \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \right\|$$

whenever $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \in X$ and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.8. Let X be a Banach space with unconditional Schauder basis $\{e_n\}$ and c be the unconditional basis constant. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X which converges weakly to 0. Then there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and a sequence $\{u_k\}$ such that

(i) $\|x_{n_k} - u_k\| \rightarrow 0$

(ii) $\|u_k\| \leq c\|x_{n_k}\|$, for all k .

(iii) There exist positive integers $N_0, N_1, \dots, N_k, \dots$ such that $N_{k-1} < N_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\text{supp}(u_k) \subset (N_{k-1}, N_k]$, where $(N_{k-1}, N_k] = \{j \in \mathbb{N}: N_{k-1} < j \leq N_k\}$.

Proof: Suppose $\{P_n\}$ is the sequence of natural projections with respect to the Schauder basis $\{e_n\}$ and $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers converging to 0.

Then $\|P_n(x_1) - x_1\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore there exists a $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|P_{N_0}(x_1) - x_1\| < \epsilon_0$. Let $n_0 = 1$.

Now since $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to 0, $\|P_{N_0}(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So there exists a $n_1 > 1$ such that $\|P_{N_0}(x_{n_1})\| < \epsilon_1$.

Again $\|P_n(x_{n_1}) - x_{n_1}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore there exists a $N_1 > N_0$ such that $\|P_{N_1}(x_{n_1}) - x_{n_1}\| < \epsilon_1$.

Now since $\|P_{N_1}(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So there exists a $n_2 > n_1$ such that $\|P_{N_1}(x_{n_2})\| < \epsilon_2$.

Continuing this process we get $n_1 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ and $N_0 < N_1 < \dots < N_k < \dots$ such that

$$\|P_{N_k}(x_{n_k}) - x_{n_k}\| < \epsilon_k \text{ and } \|P_{N_{k-1}}(x_{n_k})\| < \epsilon_k.$$

Let $u_k = (P_{N_k} - P_{N_{k-1}})(x_{n_k})$. Then $\|x_{n_k} - u_k\| \leq \|P_{N_k}(x_{n_k}) - x_{n_k}\| + \|P_{N_{k-1}}(x_{n_k})\| < 2\epsilon_k$.

Therefore $\|x_{n_k} - u_k\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\text{supp}(u_k) \subset (N_{k-1}, N_k], N_{k-1} < N_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Since $\{e_n\}$ is an unconditional basis with unconditional basis constant c , by Theorem 2.7., we have

$$\|u_k\| = \|(P_{N_k} - P_{N_{k-1}})(x_{n_k})\| \leq c\|x_{n_k}\|.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with unconditional Schauder basis $\{e_n\}$ and c be the unconditional basis constant. Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets. Let

$|x|_\beta = \max\{\|x\|, \beta q(x)\}$ (where $\beta > 0$ and q is a seminorm on X) be an equivalent renorming of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then $(X, |\cdot|_\beta)$ has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets, if for each sequence $\{y_k\}$ with $\|y_k\| \leq c$, $\text{supp}(y_k)$ is finite and $\max(\text{supp}(y_k)) < \min(\text{supp}(y_{k+1}))$, for all k , there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha > 0$ such that $q(y_k) \leq \alpha < 1/\beta, \forall k \geq n_0$.

Proof: Suppose $(X, |\cdot|_\beta)$ does not have normal structure for weakly compact convex sets. Then by Corollary 2.4., there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\rightarrow^w 0 \\ |x_n|_\beta &\rightarrow 1 \\ \text{diam}_\beta(\{x_n\}) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.8., there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and a sequence $\{u_k\}$ such that $\|x_{n_k} - u_k\| \rightarrow 0, \text{supp}(u_k) \subset (N_{k-1}, N_k]$, where $N_{k-1} < N_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\|u_k\| \leq c\|x_{n_k}\|$, for all k . Since $|\cdot|_\beta$ is an equivalent renorming of $\|\cdot\|, |x_{n_k} - u_k|_\beta \rightarrow 0$.

Now since $\text{diam}_\beta(\{x_n\}) = \text{diam}_\beta(\overline{\text{co}}\{x_n\}) = 1, x_n \rightarrow^w 0, 0 \in \overline{\text{co}}\{x_n\}$ and $|x_n|_\beta \leq 1$.

Therefore, $\|u_k\| \leq c\|x_{n_k}\| \leq c|x_{n_k}|_\beta \leq c$.

Let f be a bounded linear functional on X . Since $x_{n_k} \rightarrow^w 0, f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, $|f(u_k)| \leq |f(u_k - x_{n_k})| + |f(x_{n_k})| \leq \|f\||x_{n_k} - u_k|_\beta + |f(x_{n_k})|$ implies $f(u_k) \rightarrow 0$.

So $u_k \rightarrow^w 0$.

Now $|x_{n_k}|_\beta - |u_k - x_{n_k}|_\beta \leq |u_k|_\beta \leq |x_{n_k}|_\beta + |u_k - x_{n_k}|_\beta$ implies that $|u_k|_\beta \rightarrow 1$.

From the given condition of the theorem, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha > 0$ such that $q(u_k) \leq \alpha < 1/\beta, \forall k \geq n_0$.

Then $\beta q(u_k) \leq \alpha \beta < 1, \forall k \geq n_0$. Therefore $|u_k|_\beta = \max\{\|u_k\|, \beta q(u_k)\} \rightarrow 1$ gives $\|u_k\| \rightarrow 1$.

Thus $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ contains a sequence $\{u_k\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\rightarrow^w 0 \\ \|u_k\| &\rightarrow 1 \\ \text{diam}(\{u_k\}) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 2.4., $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ does not have normal structure for weakly compact convex sets.

Corollary 2.10. [5] *The equivalent renorming of l^2 of the form $|x|_\beta = \max\{\|x\|_2, \beta q(x)\}$ (where $\beta > 0$ and q is a seminorm on X) has normal structure, if for each sequence $\{y_k\}$ with $\|y_k\| \leq 1, \text{supp}(y_k)$ is finite and $\max(\text{supp}(y_k)) < \min(\text{supp}(y_{k+1}))$, for all k , there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha > 0$ such that $q(y_k) \leq \alpha <$*

$1/\beta, \forall k \geq n_0$.

Proof: The standard basis $\{e_n\}$ of $(l^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$, where $e_n = (x(1), x(2), \dots), x(n) = 1, x(i) = 0, \forall n \neq i$, is an unconditional basis [14] with unconditional constant 1. Also since $(l^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$, is reflexive, every closed bounded set is weakly compact. Therefore the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.11. Consider $l^p (1 < p < \infty)$ with the norm $\|x\| = \left\{ \|x\|_p, \beta \sup_n \left\{ \frac{|x(1)| + \dots + |x(n)|}{|a_n|} \right\} \right\}$, where $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of non zero real numbers and there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha > 0$ such that $|n/a_n| \leq \alpha < 1/\beta, \forall k \geq n_0$. Then $(l^p, \|\cdot\|)$ has normal structure.

Proof: It is known that the standard basis $\{e_n\}$ of $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$, where $e_n = (x(1), x(2), \dots), x(n) = 1, x(i) = 0, \forall n \neq i$, is an unconditional basis [14] with unconditional constant 1. Since $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is uniformly convex, it is reflexive and has normal structure. Now since $|n/a_n| \leq \alpha < 1/\beta, \forall k \geq n_0, \{n/a_n\}$ is bounded. Let $|n/a_n| \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $M > 0$.

Therefore $\|x\|_p \leq \|x\| = \left\{ \|x\|_p, \beta \sup_n \left\{ \frac{|x(1)| + \dots + |x(n)|}{|a_n|} \right\} \right\} \leq M_1 \|x\|_p$, for all $x \in l^p$, for some $M_1 > 1$. Hence $\|\cdot\|$ is an equivalent renorming of $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Let $\{y_k\}$ be a sequence in l^p such that $\|y_k\|_p \leq 1, \text{supp}(y_k)$ is finite and $\max(\text{supp}(y_k)) < \min(\text{supp}(y_{k+1}))$, for all k . Then there exist positive integers $N_1 < N_2 < \dots < N_k$ such that $\text{supp}(y_k) \subset (N_{k-1}, N_k]$.

Let $q(x) = \sup_n \left\{ \frac{|x(1)| + \dots + |x(n)|}{|a_n|} \right\}, \forall x \in l^p$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } q(y_k) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|y_k(1)|}{|a_1|}, \dots, \frac{|y_k(1)| + \dots + |y_k(N_k)|}{|a_{N_k}|}, \dots, \frac{|y_k(1)| + \dots + |y_k(N_{k+1}-1)|}{|a_{N_{k+1}-1}|}, \dots \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|N_k|}{|a_{N_k}|}, \dots, \frac{|N_{k+1}-1|}{|a_{N_{k+1}-1}|}, \dots \right\} \leq \alpha, \text{ for large } k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from Theorem 2.9., $(l^p, \|\cdot\|)$ has normal structure.

In 1979, Sullivan [15] introduced the notion of k -uniformly rotund (k -UR) Banach spaces and proved these spaces have normal structure. Here we provide a proof for normal structure. For this, we need the following in sequel.

Definition 2.12. [15] A Banach space X is said to be k -uniformly rotund (k -UR) if every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\delta_X^k(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i\|}{k+1} : \|x_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, (k+1), V(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \geq \epsilon \right\} > 0,$$

$$\text{where, } V(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \sup \left\{ \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ f_1(x_1) & \cdots & f_1(x_{k+1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_k(x_1) & \cdots & f_k(x_{k+1}) \end{array} \right| : f_i \in X^*, \|f_i\| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that 1-UR Banach space is uniformly convex. It is known every k -UR is reflexive and has normal structure [15].

For $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m (m > 1)$ in a Banach space define,

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \text{dist}(x_1, \text{co}\{x_2, \dots, x_m\}) \text{dist}(x_2, \text{co}\{x_3, \dots, x_m\}) \cdots \|x_{m-1} - x_m\|.$$

Theorem 2.13. *Let X be a Banach space and $m (> 1)$ be a positive integer. Suppose for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in X$ with $\|x_i\| \leq 1 (i = 1, \dots, m)$,*

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) < \epsilon$$

(1.1)

$$\text{whenever } \left\| \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_m}{m} \right\| > 1 - \delta.$$

Then X has normal structure.

Proof: Suppose X does not normal structure. Then by Theorem 2.3., there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_{n+1}, \text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) = \text{diam}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$. Assume that $\text{diam}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\} = 1$.

Choose $0 < p < 1$ and let $\epsilon = (1 - p)$.

Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that (1.1) holds.

Let $s_1^L = x_{L+1} - x_{L+m+1}, s_2^L = x_{L+2} - x_{L+m+1}, \dots, s_m^L = x_{L+m} - x_{L+m+1}$, for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now for large L ,

$$\left\| \frac{s_1^L + \cdots + s_m^L}{m} \right\| > 1 - \delta.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$\text{dist}(s_{i+1}^L, \text{co}\{s_1^L, \dots, s_i^L\}) = \text{dist}(x_{L+i+1}, \text{co}\{x_{L+1}, \dots, x_{L+i}\}) > 1 - p, \text{ for large } L.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - p &< \text{dist}(s_m^L, \text{co}\{s_1^L, \dots, s_{m-1}^L\}) \text{dist}(s_{m-1}^L, \text{co}\{s_1^L, \dots, s_{m-2}^L\}) \cdots \|s_2^L - s_1^L\| \\ &= F(s_m^L, \dots, s_1^L) < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction for large L .

Theorem 2.14. [6] *Suppose $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n (n > 1)$ are vectors in Banach space with $\|x_i\| \leq 1$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where $V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is defined as above.*

Theorem 2.15. *Every k -UR Banach space has normal structure.*

Proof: We show that X satisfies Theorem 2.13., for $m = (k + 1)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Then since X is k -UR, $\delta_X^k(\epsilon) > 0$, where δ_X^k is as in the definition of k -UR.

Let $\delta = \delta_X^k(\epsilon)$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ with $\|x_i\| \leq 1$ and

$$\left\| \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1}}{k + 1} \right\| > 1 - \delta.$$

Now suppose $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) \geq \epsilon$. So by Theorem 2.14., $V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) \geq \epsilon$.

Then from the definition of k -UR, we have

$$\left\| \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1}}{k + 1} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta_X^k(\epsilon) = 1 - \delta.$$

This is a contradiction.

3. Conclusion

Here in the Theorem 2.9., we prove that $(X, |\cdot|_\beta)$ has normal structure for weakly compact convex sets under the assumption $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ having an unconditional Schauder basis but we do not know the theorem is true or not for a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with Schauder basis (not necessarily unconditional).

Acknowledgment: The author is thankful to the editor and the referee for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References:

- [1] Aksoy, A. G., & Khamsi, M. A. (1990). *Nonstandard methods in fixed point theory*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] Ayerbe Toledano, J. M., Domnguez Benavides, T., & Lopez Acedo, G. (1997). *Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory*. Vol. 99 of Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- [3] Brodskii, M. S., & Mil'man, D. P. (1948). On the center of a convex set. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 59, 837-840.
- [4] Benyamini, Y., & Lindenstrauss, J. (2000). *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis*. Vol. 1, Vol. 48 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [5] Dutta, G., & Veeramani, P. (2021). A short survey on open problems in metric fixed point theory and some related results for nonexpansive mappings. *J. Anal* 29, 369-381.

- [6] Geremia, R., & Sullivan, F. (1981). Multidimensional volumes and moduli of convexity in Banach spaces. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 127(4), 231-251.
- [7] Goebel, K., & Kirk, W. A. (1990). *Topics in metric fixed point theory*. Vol. 28 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [8] Karlovitz, L. A. (1976). Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in a space without normal structure. *Pacific J. Math.* 66 (1), 153-159.
- [9] Khamsi, M. A., & Kirk, W. A. (2001). *An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- [10] Kirk, W. A. (1965). A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 72, 1004-1006.
- [11] Kirk, W. A., & Sims, B. (2004). *Handbook of metric fixed point theory*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Printed in the Netherlands.
- [12] Llorens-Fuster, E. (2012). The fixed point property for renormings of l_2 . *Arab. J. Math. (Springer)* 1 (4), 511-528.
- [13] Maurey, M. (1981). Points fixes des contractions de certains faiblement compacts de L^1 . in: *Seminar on Functional Analysis, Ecole Polytech., Palaiseau, pp. Exp. No. VIII, 19*.
- [14] Megginson, R. E. (1998). *An introduction to Banach space theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 183, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [15] Sullivan, F. (1979). A generalization of uniformly rotund Banach spaces. *Canad. J. Math.*, 31(3), 623-636.